



Berlin

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Potsdamer Platz 1
10785 Berlin
T +49 30 20 28 36 00 (Switchboard)
+49 30 20 28 38 90 (Direct)
F +49 30 20 28 37 66
E annedore.streyl@freshfields.com
www.freshfields.com

Doc ID
Document1/0

Our Ref
AS

To Vattenfall

20 April 2016

Dear Sir/Madam

Project Odin

In relation to the contemplated sale of Vattenfall Europe Generation AG and Vattenfall Europe Mining Aktiengesellschaft (together the **Target Companies**) to a consortium of EPH and PPF Vattenfall has taken various measures to verify that EPH and PPF meet the compliance standards of the Swedish State and the standards of good business practice. These measures encompassed (i) a compliance questionnaire which had to be filled out by EPH and PPF, (ii) a compliance due diligence in relation to EPH and PPF conducted by an external specialist firm on the basis of publicly available sources, (iii) additional enquiries in relation to potentially problematic findings of the compliance due diligence of the specialist firm (iv), written statements by EPH in relation to such additional enquiries, (v) enquiries specifically addressing the mentioning of Daniel Kretinsky, the CEO and majority shareholder of EPH, and Petr Kellner, the ultimate owner of PPF, in the Panama Papers and (vi) written statements of Daniel Kretinsky and the general counsel of PPF regarding the facts revealed in the Panama Papers. In addition, Vattenfall has investigated the circumstances of criminal proceedings against a (former) managing director of a German subsidiary of EPH involving allegations of bribery, tax evasion and embezzlement. All relevant oral statements of EPH regarding its involvement in this case were confirmed in writing by Daniel Kretinsky and the general counsel of EPH who is also a board member of EPH. All measures described in this paragraph are hereinafter referred to as **Due Diligence**.

In our opinion the Due Diligence was conducted diligently. The Due Diligence did not provide concrete evidence for any wrongdoing or business practices of EPH, PPF their management and their (ultimate) shareholders which are not in line with the standards of good business practice. This includes the revelations of the Panama Papers. Holding interests in British Virgin Island based companies as such is legal and no indications were given that these structures are used for tax evasion or other unlawful purposes.

Against this background we are of the opinion that none of the findings of the Due Diligence

disqualifies EPH and PPF as purchaser of the Target Companies.

Yours faithfully


Annedore Streyl